

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,643	12/12/2001	Michael O. Thorner	033493-001	9752
21839	7590 01/18/2005		EXAMINER	
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			KAUFMAN,	CLAIRE M
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/009,643	THORNER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Claire M Kaufman	1646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror te, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed bys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12.	January 2004.					
•—						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-17 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-17 are subject to restriction	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
11	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	, v (PTO-413)				
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (P1 0-692) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s)/Mail [					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	, ,				

Application/Control Number: 10/009,643

Art Unit: 1646

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, 2, 3, 4 and 14, drawn to a ligand that binds and/or activates the GHRH receptor of SEQ ID NO:4, and a nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO:6 encoding a ligand.

Group II, claim(s) 5-7 and 15, drawn to a chicken GHRH receptor and encoding nucleic acid. Group III, claim(s) 9-12, drawn to method of enhancing feed utilization or growth in an avian by administration of an agonist or antagonist, including a ligand, of the GHRH receptor of SEQ ID NO:4.

Group IV, claim(s) 13, drawn to method of enhancing feed utilization in an avian by administering a compound that up-regulates the expression of the GHRH receptor of SEQ ID NO:4.

Group V, claim(s) 16, drawn to a transgenic avian comprising a nucleic acid encoding a ligand of the GHRH receptor of SEQ ID NO:4.

Group VI, claim(s) 17, drawn to a transgenic avian comprising a nucleic acid encoding a chicken GHRH receptor.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

According to PCT Rule 13.2, unity of invention exists only when the shared same or corresponding technical feature is a contribution over the prior art. The inventions listed as Groups I and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept because of the lack of the same or corresponding special technical feature. The technical feature of Group I is a ligand that binds the chicken GHRH receptor of SEQ ID NO:4, which is shown by WO 98/32857 to lack

Application/Control Number: 10/009,643

Art Unit: 1646

novelty or inventive step because of the teaching of a human GHRH (a.k.a. GRF, page 11, lines 25-29) which, according to the instant specification (page 14, lines 15-27), binds to the chicken GHRH (cGHRH) receptor of SEQ ID NO:4, and does not make it a contribution over the prior art.

According to PCT Rule 13.2, unity of invention exists only when there is a shared same or corresponding technical feature among the claimed inventions. All the groups are directed to a GHRH ligand, receptor or method of using the ligand or receptor or nucleic acid thereof, but each group has a different special technical feature not shared by the remaining groups. Group I is direct to a GHRH ligand, which has the special technical feature of being a ligand that binds and/or activates the GHRH receptor of SEQ ID NO:4, which is not shared by any of the remaining groups (see preceding paragraph for why special technical feature is not shared with methods using the ligand Group III). Group II is directed to a GHRH receptor which has the special technical feature of its structure and function, which is not shared by any of the remaining groups. Group IV is directed to a method of administering a compound which upregulates expression of a GHRH receptor and is not the first methods and which has the special technical feature of different method steps and outcomes, which is not shared by any of the remaining groups. Group V is directed to a transgenic avian comprising a nucleic acid encoding a ligand of Group I, however, it has the special technical feature of being an avian comprising the particular nucleic acid, which is not shared by any of the remaining groups. Group VI is directed to a transgenic avian comprising a nucleic acid encoding a receptor of Group II, however, it has the special technical feature of being an avian comprising the particular nucleic acid, which is not shared by any of the remaining groups.

This Authority therefore considers that the several inventions do not share a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2 and thus do not relate to a single general inventive concept within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.1.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 10/009,643

Art Unit: 1646

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Claire M. Kaufman, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0873. Dr. Kaufman can generally be reached Monday, Tuesday and Thursday from 8:30AM to 2:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa, can be reached at (571) 272-0829.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/009,643

Art Unit: 1646

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (571) 273-8300. NOTE: If applicant does submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by the applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office. Please advise the examiner at the telephone number above before facsimile transmission.

Claire M. Kaufman, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1646

January 13, 2005